arXiv:1407.3233v2 [math-ph] 22 May 2015

Solutions for the Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations on the lattice based on Chebyshev polynomials

Nelson Faustino

Abstract. The main goal of this paper is to adopt a multivector calculus scheme to study finite difference discretizations of Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations for which Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind may be used to represent a set of solutions. The development of a well-adapted discrete Clifford calculus framework based on spinor fields allows us to represent, using solely projection based arguments, the solutions for the discretized Dirac equations from the knowledge of the solutions of the discretized Klein-Gordon equation. Implications of those findings on the interpretation of the lattice fermion doubling problem is briefly discussed.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 30G35, 39A12; Secondary 33C05, 53Z05.

Keywords. Chebyshev polynomials; discrete Dirac operators; lattice fermion doubling; spinor fields.

1. Introduction

1.1. State of art

For a variety of reasons, the study of equations from relativistic wave mechanics through the incorporation of a fermionic lattice structure in the discrete space-time, plays an important role far beyond the design of non-perturbative methods in Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) and Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) (cf. [24, Chapters 4 & 5]). Such kind of lattice structure, also used in the study Higgs and Yukawa models (cf. [24, Chapter 6]), was widely popularized during the last decade from its crucial role on the representation of Ising models as *local* conformal structures of spin-type (cf. [22, 7]).

Research supported by the fellowship 13/07590-8 of FAPESP (S.P., Brazil).

Historically it was D. Bohm (cf. [2]) one of the firsts that recognizes such need and E.A.B. Cole [8] one amongst many that present the former routes addressing to this topic. With the seminal works of K. Wilson [30] and Kogut-Susskind [21], involving lattice regularizations of Dirac equations, it was realized that there is a spectrum degeneracy phenomenon provided by the replication of fermionic states in the massless limit $m \to 0$ for the resulting discretized equations, the so well-know *lattice fermion doubling* problem.

Years later, J. M. Rabin (cf. [26]) explained with some detail that such gap is indeed a direct consequence of the non-trivial topology of the lattice momentum space supplied by a cut-off. Such kind of topology, isomorphic to a $n-$dimensional torus $(\mathbb{R}/h\mathbb{Z})^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n/h\mathbb{Z}^n$, corresponds in the momentum space to the restriction of all momenta to the cube $Q_h = \left[-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n$, the so-called *Brillouin zone* (cf. [18]). Moreover, it was explained in detail that this gap is only filled by the chiral breaking of the symmetries provided by the *continuum* model. Further details arising this kind of construction may also be found on the papers [3, 10].

The proper mathematical foundation of *lattice fermion doubling*, traced in depth by Nielsen–Ninomiya in [25] and proved afterwards by D. Friedan in [17], shows that through lattice regularizations of fermionic fields the existence of doublers always hold when one impose translational invariance, hermiticity and locality constraints. That is, the doubling of solutions underlying discretized models always arise under this set of constraints (cf. [17, Sections 2 & 3]).

Driven by the combination of these ideas with Becher-Joos's insights [1] on Dirac-Kähler fermions, other kinds of lattice formulations such as the approaches obtained by J. Vaz [28] and Kanamori–Kawamoto [20] were obtained. The methods employed on both formulations were essentially build up from Dimakis–Müller-Hoissen approach on noncommutative differential calculus over discrete sets (cf. [13]).

In a different context, mainly driven by the need of obtaining factorizations for discrete Laplacians on combinatorial surfaces, I've introduced in collaboration with U. Kähler and F. Sommen (cf. [14]) finite difference approximations for the Dirac operator in *continuum*. In the spirit of multivector calculus, it was explained on my PhD dissertation [15] that such kind of discretization is interrelated with the Dirac-Kähler formalism considered in [1, 28, 20].

Interestingly enough (but not yet fully adopted or known in the community) combination of tools from finite difference potentials (cf. [19, 5]) and interpolation theory (cf. [18, 6]) arising in this context may also be useful in the modelling of problems of quantum field theory over the phase space $h\mathbb{Z}^n \times \left[-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n$ (see, for instance, [23, 12]).

1.2. Outline of the paper

In this paper we will show the feasibility of discrete Clifford calculus in the exact representation of the solutions of some discretized equations from wave mechanics. To this end, a consistent multivector calculus scheme through

the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ will be introduced in Section 2 with the aim of investigate in Section 3 the solutions of the discretized time-harmonic Klein-Gordon equation

$$\Delta_h \mathbf{f}(x) = m^2 \mathbf{f}(x) \tag{1}$$

for a given finite difference approximation Δ_h of the Laplace operator $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_j}^2$, and moreover, the solutions of a discretized Dirac equation from the knowledge of the solutions of (1). Such characterization corresponds in the paper to Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.1.

The problems of foremost interest treated in Section 3 also involve the hypercomplex extension of the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind

$$T_k(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}\right)^k + \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 1}\right)^k \tag{2}$$

underlying to the hypergeometric series representation ${}_2F_1\left(-k, k; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1-\lambda}{2}\right)$.

The choice of this kind of polynomials, also ubiquitous in E.A.B. Cole's former approach (cf. [8, p. 650]), was motivated from its wide range of applications far beyond the computation of discrete cosine transforms (cf. [6]).

Following the same train of thought of Borštnik-Nielsen's seminal paper on multivector calculus (cf. [4]), we will look further in Section 2 to the emboid of a Clifford algebra with signature $(0, n)$ onto the algebra $\mathcal{A}_h$ of all real-valued lattice functions in $h\mathbb{Z}^n$.

To this end, one will formulate the wedge ($\wedge$) and the dot ($\bullet$) product between a Clifford generator and a multivector function on the lattice by taking into account two kinds of displacement actions on the axis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ in the same flavor of noncommutative differential calculus carrying a discrete set (cf. [13]). In brief, an *involution* action combined with forward and/or backward shifts on $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ will be adopted with the aim of filling the noncommutative gap provided by the lack of a *true* Leibniz rule for the standard finite difference operators. As it will be shown in Lemma 2.1, such kind of constraints assures a generalized Leibniz rule for the corresponding finite difference operators of multivector type.

Based on the aforementioned facts, the resulting discrete Clifford calculus – i.e. a geometric based extension of discrete vector calculus– obtained through the Fock space representation of $\mathrm{End}(\Lambda^* \mathcal{A}_h)$ (cf. [15, Section 3.2]) will allows us to describe the basic left/right endomorphisms acting on $\Lambda^* \mathcal{A}_h$ as the canonical equivalents of the generators of a Clifford algebra with signature (n, n). In opposition to [28, 20], on which the resulting Clifford geometric products are distributive but non-associative, the Fock space representation encoded by the wedge and dot products avoid *a priori* problems related with associativity and distributivity on the product between a Clifford basis and a multivector function with membership in $\Lambda^* \mathcal{A}_h$.

The major challenging here against [4] will be the introduction of a unitary action over the resulting multivector space through a *local* action $\chi_h(x)$ on $h\mathbb{Z}^n$. Such action is closely related with a pseudoscalar representation within the Clifford algebra of signature (n, n). As one will see in Section 4,

such *local* action of unitary type incorporates the Kogut-Susskind fermion regularization (see also [3, 10] for further comparisons).

The intriguing aspect besides this staggered based formulation is that such lattice actions will also endow projection operators that provide, as in [11], a direct sum decomposition involving exterior algebras of chiral and achiral type, similar to spinor spaces $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma) C\ell_{0,n}$ that appear on the direct sum decomposition

$$C\ell_{n,n} = \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma) C\ell_{0,n} \oplus \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma) C\ell_{0,n}$$

so that γ is a pseudoscalar of $C\ell_{n,n}$ satisfying $\gamma^2 = 1$ (cf. [4, Sections IV. & V.]).

2. Multivector calculus on the lattice

2.1. Real Clifford algebras

Let $\mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ be the standard $(p+q)-$dimensional Euclidean space. The Clifford algebra over $\mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ with signature (q,p) corresponds to a real associative algebra with identity 1, containing $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ as subspaces, and in which the basis elements $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_p, \mathbf{e}_{p+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{p+q}$ satisfy the following graded anti-commuting relations

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{e}_k\mathbf{e}_j = -2\delta_{jk}, & j,k = 1,2,\ldots,p \\ \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{e}_{k+q} + \mathbf{e}_{k+q}\mathbf{e}_j = 0, & j,k = 1,2,\ldots,p \\ \mathbf{e}_{j+q}\mathbf{e}_{k+q} + \mathbf{e}_{k+q}\mathbf{e}_{j+q} = 2\delta_{jk}, & j,k = 1,2,\ldots,p. \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Based on the above set of constraints, a basis $\mathbf{e}_J$ for $C\ell_{q,p}$ then consists of $\mathbf{e}_J = \mathbf{e}_{j_1}\mathbf{e}_{j_2}\ldots\mathbf{e}_{j_r}$, where $J = \{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r\}$ is a partially ordered subset of $\{1,2,\ldots,p,p+1,\ldots,p+q\}$ with cardinality $|J| = r$ so that $0 \leq r \leq p+q$. For $J = \varnothing$ (empty set) we will use the convention $\mathbf{e}_\varnothing = 1$.

Then, any Clifford number $\mathbf{a} \in C\ell_{q,p}$ may thus be expressed as

$$\mathbf{a} = \sum_{r=0}^{p+q} \sum_{|J|=r} a_J \ \mathbf{e}_J.$$

Herewith, it is important to notice that $C\ell_{q,p}$ is a universal algebra of dimension 2^{p+q}, linear isomorphic to the exterior algebra $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^{p+q})$ (cf. [27, Chapter 2]). In such way, the elements of $\mathbb{R}$ in $C\ell_{q,p}$ are represented as $\mathbf{a} = a\ \mathbf{e}_\varnothing$ whereas the vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ correspond in $C\ell_{q,p}$ to the ansatz $z = \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j\mathbf{e}_j + y_j\mathbf{e}_{j+q}$. Notice also that $x = \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j\mathbf{e}_j$ corresponds to the $C\ell_{0,p}-$valued representation of the $p-$ tuple of $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p)$ of $\mathbb{R}^p$ whereas $y = \sum_{j=1}^{p} y_j\mathbf{e}_{j+q}$ corresponds to $C\ell_{q,0}-$valued representation of the $q-$tuple $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_q)$ of $\mathbb{R}^q$.

There are essentially three automorphisms that leave the multivector structure of $C\ell_{q,p}$ invariant. They are defined as follows:

- The *main involution* $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}'$ is defined recursively via

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{ab})' = \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{b}' \\ &(a_J\mathbf{e}_J)' = a_J\ \mathbf{e}'_{j_1}\mathbf{e}'_{j_2}\ldots\mathbf{e}'_{j_r} \\ &\mathbf{e}'_j = -\mathbf{e}_j \text{ and } \mathbf{e}'_{j+q} = \mathbf{e}_{j+q}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

- The *reversion* $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^*$ is defined recursively via

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{ab})^* = \mathbf{b}^*\mathbf{a}^* \\ &(a_J\mathbf{e}_J)^* = a_J\ \mathbf{e}^*_{j_r}\ldots\mathbf{e}^*_{j_2}\mathbf{e}^*_{j_1} \\ &\mathbf{e}^*_j = \mathbf{e}_j \text{ and } \mathbf{e}^*_{j+q} = \mathbf{e}_{j+q}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

- The $\dagger-$*conjugation* $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^\dagger$ is defined recursively via

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathbf{ab})^\dagger = \mathbf{b}^\dagger\mathbf{a}^\dagger \\ &(a_J\mathbf{e}_J)^\dagger = a_J\ \mathbf{e}^\dagger_{j_r}\ldots\mathbf{e}^\dagger_{j_2}\mathbf{e}^\dagger_{j_1} \\ &\mathbf{e}^\dagger_j = -\mathbf{e}_j \text{ and } \mathbf{e}^\dagger_{j+q} = \mathbf{e}_{j+q}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Notice that the $\dagger-$*conjugation* may be rewritten as a composition between *main involution* and *reversion*, that is $(\mathbf{a}')^* = (\mathbf{a}^*)' = \mathbf{a}^\dagger$ holds for every $\mathbf{a} \in C\ell_{q,p}$ whereas in case when $\mathbf{a}$ belongs to $C\ell_{0,p}$ the Clifford number $\mathbf{a}^\dagger$ coincides with the standard conjugation $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ over $C\ell_{0,p}$ i.e. $\mathbf{a}^\dagger = \overline{\mathbf{a}}$. So, any Clifford vector $x = \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j\mathbf{e}_j$ of $C\ell_{0,p}$ satisfies $x^* = x$ and $x^\dagger = x' = -x$.

Let us now turn our attention to the description of the real Clifford algebra $C\ell_{n,n}$ $(q = p = n)$ as a canonical realization of the algebra of endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}(C\ell_{0,n})$.

First, recall that in terms of the *main involution* automorphism, the Clifford product $\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{a}$ may be decomposed as

$$\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{a} + (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{e}_j)'\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{a} - (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{e}_j)'\right).$$

The symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of the above summand thus give rise to the multivector counterparts for the dot $(\bullet)$ and wedge $(\wedge)$ product. Indeed, introducing $\mathbf{e}_j \bullet \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{e}_j \wedge \mathbf{a}$ as

$$\mathbf{e}_j \bullet \mathbf{a} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{e}_j\right) \text{ and } \mathbf{e}_j \wedge \mathbf{a} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{e}_j\right)$$

it follows then $\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{e}_j \bullet \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_j \wedge \mathbf{a}$.

Next, let us identify the generators of $C\ell_{n,n}$ as left and right endomorphisms via the set of canonical correspondences

$$\mathbf{e}_j : \mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_{j+n} : \mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}'\mathbf{e}_j. \tag{7}$$

From the combination of (7) with (3) it is clear that the set of relations $\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{e}_j^2\mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{a}$ and $(\mathbf{a}'\mathbf{e}_j)'\mathbf{e}_j = \mathbf{e}_{j+n}^2\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$ reveal the canonical isomorphism between $\mathrm{End}(C\ell_{0,n})$ and $C\ell_{n,n}$ provided by (7).

The dot and wedge products defined above suggest the introduction of the set of operators $\mathbf{e}_j^+ : \mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_j \bullet \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{e}_j^- : \mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_j \wedge \mathbf{a}$, the so-called Witt

basis. From (7) $\mathbf{e}_j^+ = \mathbf{e}_j \bullet (\cdot)$ and $\mathbf{e}_j^- = \mathbf{e}_j \wedge (\cdot)$ may be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{e}_j^+ = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j+n} - \mathbf{e}_j\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_j^- = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j+n} + \mathbf{e}_j\right). \tag{8}$$

Therefore, the set $\{\mathbf{e}_j^+, \mathbf{e}_j^- \ : \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ also forms a basis for $\mathrm{End}(C\ell_{0,n})$. The remaining set of graded anti-commuting relations are given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{e}_k^- + \mathbf{e}_k^- \mathbf{e}_j^- & = & 0 \\ \mathbf{e}_j^+ \mathbf{e}_k^+ + \mathbf{e}_k^+ \mathbf{e}_j^+ & = & 0 \\ \mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{e}_k^+ + \mathbf{e}_k^+ \mathbf{e}_j^- & = & \delta_{jk}. \end{array} \tag{9}$$

Conversely, any generator of $C\ell_{n,n}$ may be rewritten as a linear combination involving the basis elements of $\mathrm{End}(C\ell_{0,n})$. The remaining linear combinations thus correspond to

$$\mathbf{e}_j = \mathbf{e}_j^- - \mathbf{e}_j^+ \text{ and } \mathbf{e}_{j+n} = \mathbf{e}_j^- + \mathbf{e}_j^+.$$

2.2. Discrete multivector functions

From now on we will adopt the multivector representation $x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mathbf{e}_j$ when one refers to the $n-$ tuple $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the displacements $x \pm h\mathbf{e}_j$ along the x_j-axis when one refer to forward/backward shifts $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_j \pm h, \ldots, x_n)$ over the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ with mesh width $h > 0$. Any $C\ell_{0,n}-$valued function $\mathbf{f}(x)$ may thus be represented as

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{|J|=r} f_J(x) \mathbf{e}_J, \quad \text{with} \quad x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n.$$

The algebra containing all the lattice functions $f_J(x)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_h$ whereas the linear space containing the summands of the form $\sum_{|J|=r} f_J(x)\mathbf{e}_J$ will be denoted by $\Lambda^r \mathcal{A}_h$. Clearly, one has $\Lambda^0 \mathcal{A}_h = \mathcal{A}_h$ and graded direct sum decomposition

$$\Lambda^* \mathcal{A}_h = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{n} \Lambda^r \mathcal{A}_h.$$

With the aim of filling the lack of commutativity over the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ one will associate to the basis elements $\mathbf{e}_j^- = \mathbf{e}_j \wedge (\cdot)$ and $\mathbf{e}_j^+ = \mathbf{e}_j \bullet (\cdot)$ provided by (8) the following noncommutative actions over $\Lambda^* \mathcal{A}_h$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{e}_j^+ \mathbf{f}(x) & = & \mathbf{f}(x - h\mathbf{e}_j)' \ \mathbf{e}_j^+ \\ \mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{f}(x) & = & \mathbf{f}(x + h\mathbf{e}_j)' \ \mathbf{e}_j^-. \end{array} \tag{10}$$

It is clear from (10) that the action of each $\mathbf{e}_j^+ \mathbf{e}_j^-$ resp. $\mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{e}_j^+$ on $\mathbf{f}(x)$ are commutative. Also, from (9) one can see that the set of operators $\mathbf{e}_j^+ \mathbf{e}_j^-$ resp. $\mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{e}_j^+$ mutually commute and satisfy, for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the set of idempotent relations $\mathbf{e}_j^+ \mathbf{e}_j^- \left(\mathbf{e}_j^+ \mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{f}(x)\right) = \mathbf{e}_j^+ \mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{f}(x)$ resp. $\mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{e}_j^+ \left(\mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{e}_j^+ \mathbf{f}(x)\right) = \mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{e}_j^+ \mathbf{f}(x)$. Moreover, from (8) and (3) the mappings

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{e}_j^+ \mathbf{e}_j^- & : & \mathbf{f}(x) \mapsto \mathbf{e}_j \bullet (\mathbf{e}_j \wedge \mathbf{f}(x)) \\ \mathbf{e}_j^- \mathbf{e}_j^+ & : & \mathbf{f}(x) \mapsto \mathbf{e}_j \wedge (\mathbf{e}_j \bullet \mathbf{f}(x)) \end{array}$$

have the following $C\ell_{n,n}$ representation:

$$\mathbf{e}_j^+\mathbf{e}_j^- = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\mathbf{e}_{j+n}\mathbf{e}_j\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_j^-\mathbf{e}_j^+ = \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\mathbf{e}_{j+n}\mathbf{e}_j\right).$$

Here we would like to observe that from (3) the set of graded commutator mappings on $\mathrm{End}(\Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h)$, defined as

$$[\mathbf{e}_j^+,\mathbf{e}_j^-]:\mathbf{f}(x)\mapsto \mathbf{e}_j\bullet(\mathbf{e}_j\wedge\mathbf{f}(x))-\mathbf{e}_j\wedge(\mathbf{e}_j\bullet\mathbf{f}(x)),$$

correspond in $C\ell_{n,n}$ to the bivectors $\mathbf{e}_{j+n}\mathbf{e}_j$. Moreover, they mutually commute and satisfy, for each $j=1,2\ldots,n$, the set of unitary relations

$$[\mathbf{e}_j^+,\mathbf{e}_j^-]\left([\mathbf{e}_j^+,\mathbf{e}_j^-]\mathbf{f}(x)\right)=\mathbf{f}(x).$$

That allows us to obtain two projection operators as idempotents of $\mathrm{End}(\Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h)$. To this end, let us introduce the following *local* action on $h\mathbb{Z}^n$:

$$\chi_h(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\frac{x_j}{h}}[\mathbf{e}_j^+,\mathbf{e}_j^-]. \tag{11}$$

A short computation shows that $\chi_h(x)^2=\prod_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{\frac{2x_j}{h}}[\mathbf{e}_j^+,\mathbf{e}_j^-]^2=1$, and thus, the unitary relation $\chi_h(x)\left(\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}(x)\right)=\mathbf{f}(x)$. Therefore, elements of the form $\frac{1}{2}\left(1\pm\chi_h(x)\right)\in\mathrm{End}(\Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h)$ are also idempotent, that is

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(1\pm\chi_h(x)\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{f}(x)\pm\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}(x)\right)\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{f}(x)\pm\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}(x)\right).$$

This allows us to introduce the multivector spaces $\Lambda_\pm^*\mathcal{A}_h$, defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_+^*\mathcal{A}_h &= \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{f}(x)+\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}(x)\right)\ :\ \mathbf{f}(x)\in\Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h\right\}\\ \Lambda_-^*\mathcal{A}_h &= \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{f}(x)-\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}(x)\right)\ :\ \mathbf{f}(x)\in\Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

In concrete, for any lattice function $\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x)$ with membership in $\Lambda_+^*\mathcal{A}_h\oplus\Lambda_-^*\mathcal{A}_h$ there exist two multivector functions $\mathbf{u}(x),\mathbf{v}(x)\in\Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h$ such that

$$\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{u}(x)+\chi_h(x)\mathbf{u}(x)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{v}(x)-\chi_h(x)\mathbf{v}(x)\right). \tag{12}$$

The uniqueness of $\mathbf{u}(x)$ and $\mathbf{v}(x)$ is thus assured by the null relations $\left(1-\chi_h(x)\right)\left(\mathbf{u}(x)+\chi_h(x)\mathbf{u}(x)\right)=\left(1+\chi_h(x)\right)\left(\mathbf{v}(x)-\chi_h(x)\mathbf{v}(x)\right)=0$. Indeed, by letting act $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\chi_h(x)\right)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\chi_h(x)\right)$ on both sides of (12) it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x)+\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x)\right)&=\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{u}(x)+\chi_h(x)\mathbf{u}(x)\right)\\ \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x)-\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x)\right)&=\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{v}(x)-\chi_h(x)\mathbf{v}(x)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We will use the subscript notations $\mathbf{f}_+(x)$ and $\mathbf{f}_-(x)$ to denote the multivector functions of the form $\mathbf{f}_\pm(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{f}(x)\pm\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}(x)\right)$. The bold notations $\mathbf{f}(x),\mathbf{g}(x),\ldots,\mathbf{u}(x)$ and so on will be only used when we refer to a multivector function belonging to $\Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h$.

Remark 2.1. *In dimension $n = 4$, the direct sum $\Lambda^*_+\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \Lambda^*_-\mathcal{A}_h$ looks like a Dirac-like spinor structure based on the homogeneous representation of the special unitary group $SU(2)$ modulo the idempotents $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \chi_h(x))$ and $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \chi_h(x))$. Such ladder structure gives rise to two independent irreducible representations of the special orthogonal group $SO(3)$.*

When one takes the multivector extension of $\mathbb{C}^4$ as the noncommutative ring of quaternions $\mathbb{H}$, from the isomorphism $C\ell_{0,3} \cong \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ it follows therefore that $\Lambda^*_+\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \Lambda^*_-\mathcal{A}_h$ may also be represented, up to the permutation sign $(-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{h}}$, in terms of Dirac matrices γ_j, with $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ (cf. [29, Subsections 2.2 & 2.3]*).*

We refer the reader to [4, Subsection IV.H.] *on which such discussion involving a $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ representation for the Lorentz group was taken in the context of Weyl bispinors.*

2.3. Discrete Dirac operators

After defining the spaces of multivector functions through the last subsection, one move now to the construction of finite difference discretizations for the Dirac operator in intertwining with the formulations [20] and [14]. In order to proceed we define, for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the forward/backward finite difference operators $\partial_h^{+j}/\partial_h^{-j}$ by the coordinate formulae

$$\partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{f}(x) = \frac{\mathbf{f}(x + h\mathbf{e}_j) - \mathbf{f}(x)}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_h^{-j}\mathbf{f}(x) = \frac{\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(x - h\mathbf{e}_j)}{h}. \tag{14}$$

Take into account the forward/backward finite difference Dirac operators $D_h^{\pm} = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j \partial_h^{\pm j}$ already considered in [16], we associate to each lattice function $\mathbf{f}(x)$ the multivector actions

$$\partial_h^+\mathbf{f}(x) = D_h^+ \bullet \mathbf{f}(x) \text{ and } \partial_h^-\mathbf{f}(x) = D_h^- \wedge \mathbf{f}(x).$$

By means of the Witt basis $\mathbf{e}_j^{\pm}$ defined in (8), the actions $\partial_h^+ = D_h^+ \bullet (\cdot)$ and $\partial_h^- = D_h^- \wedge (\cdot)$ on $\Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h$ resp. $\Lambda^*_+\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \Lambda^*_-\mathcal{A}_h$ correspond to

$$\partial_h^+ = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j^+ \partial_h^{+j} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_h^- = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j^- \partial_h^{-j}. \tag{15}$$

It is clear from (14) that ∂_h^{+j} and ∂_h^{-j} are interrelated by the shift operators $S_h^{\pm j}\mathbf{f}(x) = \mathbf{f}(x \pm h\mathbf{e}_j)$, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{rlrl} S_h^{-j}(\partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{f}(x)) = & \partial_h^{+j}(S_h^{-j}\mathbf{f}(x)) = & \partial_h^{-j}\mathbf{f}(x) \\ S_h^{+j}(\partial_h^{-j}\mathbf{f}(x)) = & \partial_h^{-j}(S_h^{+j}\mathbf{f}(x)) = & \partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{f}(x). \end{array} \tag{16}$$

Moreover, for two Clifford-vector-valued functions $\mathbf{f}(x)$ and $\mathbf{g}(x)$ the action of each $\partial_h^{-j}/\partial_h^{+j}$ on $\mathbf{f}(x)\mathbf{g}(x)$ gives rise to the set of product rules

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_h^{+j}\left(\mathbf{f}(x)\mathbf{g}(x)\right) & = & \partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{f}(x)\ \mathbf{g}(x) + \mathbf{f}(x + h\mathbf{e}_j)\partial_h^{+j}\mathbf{g}(x) \\ \partial_h^{-j}\left(\mathbf{f}(x)\mathbf{g}(x)\right) & = & \partial_h^{-j}\mathbf{f}(x)\ \mathbf{g}(x) + \mathbf{f}(x - h\mathbf{e}_j)\partial_h^{-j}\mathbf{g}(x). \end{array} \tag{17}$$

Now let us examine the actions of ∂_h^+ and ∂_h^- on Clifford-vector-valued lattice functions. First, recall that the Graßmaniann identities $\mathbf{e}_j^\pm \mathbf{e}_k^\pm + \mathbf{e}_k^\pm \mathbf{e}_j^\pm = 0$ provided by (9) lead to the nilpotent relations

$$\partial_h^+ \left(\partial_h^+ \mathbf{f}(x)\right) = \partial_h^- \left(\partial_h^- \mathbf{f}(x)\right) = 0.$$

Based on (10) , one can also prove the following lemma, corresponding to generalized Leibniz rules at the level of discrete multivector calculus.

Lemma 2.1. *For two lattice functions* $\mathbf{f}(x)$ *and* $\mathbf{g}(x)$ *with membership in* $\Lambda^* \mathcal{A}_h$*, we have the generalized Leibniz rules*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_h^+ \left(\mathbf{f}(x)\mathbf{g}(x)\right) &= (\partial_h^+ \mathbf{f})(x)\mathbf{g}(x) + \mathbf{f}(x)'(\partial_h^+ \mathbf{g})(x) \\ \partial_h^- \left(\mathbf{f}(x)\mathbf{g}(x)\right) &= (\partial_h^- \mathbf{f})(x)\mathbf{g}(x) + \mathbf{f}(x)'(\partial_h^- \mathbf{g})(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Starting from the endomorphism representation provided by (15), the summand(s) splitting

$$\partial_h^\pm(\mathbf{f}(x)\mathbf{g}(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j^\pm \partial_h^{\pm j} \mathbf{f}(x)\mathbf{g}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j^\pm \mathbf{f}(x \pm h\mathbf{e}_j)(\partial_h^{\pm j}\mathbf{g})(x)$$

follows straightforwardly from direct application of the product rules (17) and from linearity arguments.

The summand(s) $\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j^\pm \partial_h^{\pm j} \mathbf{f}(x)\mathbf{g}(x)$ equals to $(\partial_h^\pm \mathbf{f})(x)\mathbf{g}(x)$ whereas from noncommutative constraints (10)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j^\pm \mathbf{f}(x \pm h\mathbf{e}_j)(\partial_h^{\pm j}\mathbf{g})(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{f}(x)' \mathbf{e}_j^\pm (\partial_h^{\pm j}\mathbf{g})(x) \\ &= \mathbf{f}(x)'(\partial_h^\pm \mathbf{g})(x). \end{aligned}$$

This results into $\partial_h^\pm(\mathbf{f}(x)\mathbf{g}(x)) = (\partial_h^\pm \mathbf{f})(x)\mathbf{g}(x) + \mathbf{f}(x)'(\partial_h^\pm \mathbf{g})(x)$, as desired. □

The above properties altogether show in turn that, for a given algebra $\mathcal{A}_h$ of real-valued functions over the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ with mesh width $h > 0$, the pair $(\partial_h^-, \Lambda^* \mathcal{A}_h)$ encodes a universal differential calculus over a hypercubic lattice (cf. [13, 28, 20]) whereas ∂_h^+ plays the role of the codifferential operator. Indeed, one has the raising and lowering properties, $\partial_h^- : \Lambda^r \mathcal{A}_h \mapsto \Lambda^{r+1} \mathcal{A}_h$ and $\partial_h^+ : \Lambda^r \mathcal{A}_h \mapsto \Lambda^{r-1} \mathcal{A}_h$, respectively.

Having in mind the Dirac-Kähler formalism over differential forms (cf. [24, Subsection 4.3.3]), one can introduce the finite difference Dirac operator D_h over $\Lambda_+^* \mathcal{A}_h \oplus \Lambda_-^* \mathcal{A}_h$ as $D_h = \partial_h^- - \partial_h^+$.

It is easy to see from the splittings $\mathbf{e}_j = \mathbf{e}_j^- - \mathbf{e}_j^+$ and $\mathbf{e}_{j+n} = \mathbf{e}_j^- + \mathbf{e}_j^+$ provided by (8) that D_h admits the following $C\ell_{n,n}$ based representation

$$D_h = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j \frac{\partial_h^{-j} + \partial_h^{+j}}{2} + \mathbf{e}_{j+n} \frac{\partial_h^{-j} - \partial_h^{+j}}{2}. \tag{18}$$

The above formula, corresponding to the decomposition of D_h through $C\ell_{n,n}$ into a symmetric plus a skew-symmetric part, roughly shows that D_h

also gives rise to a finite difference discretization of $D = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_j \partial_{x_j}$ (cf. [14]) for which the symmetric part corresponds to the central finite difference Dirac operator $\frac{1}{2}\left(D_h^- + D_h^+\right)$ and the skew-symmetric part equals to $\frac{h}{2}\Box_h$, where

$$\Box_h = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{j+n} \partial_h^{-j} \partial_h^{+j}$$

denotes the $C\ell_{n,0}-$valued extension of the lattice d'Alembert operators $-\partial_h^{-j}\partial_h^{+j} = \frac{1}{h}\left(\partial_h^{-j} - \partial_h^{+j}\right)$ (cf. [24, Subsection 1.5.1]).

There many basic properties regarding the multivector operators (15) and (18) that can be formulated only in terms of the graded commuting relations (9) and (3), respectively. The next proposition, involving the factorization of the star Laplacian

$$\Delta_h \mathbf{f}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{f}(x + h\mathbf{e}_j) + \mathbf{f}(x - h\mathbf{e}_j) - 2\mathbf{f}(x)}{h^2} \tag{19}$$

will be of special interest on the subsequent section.

Proposition 2.1. *For the finite difference discretization of Δ_h defined by equation (19) it holds*

$$\partial_h^+\left(\partial_h^- \mathbf{f}(x)\right) + \partial_h^-\left(\partial_h^+ \mathbf{f}(x)\right) = \Delta_h \mathbf{f}(x)$$
$$D_h\left(D_h \mathbf{f}(x)\right) = -\Delta_h \mathbf{f}(x).$$

Proof. Recall that from (14) one has, for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, the factorization relations

$$\partial_h^{+j}\left(\partial_h^{-j} \mathbf{f}(x)\right) = \frac{\mathbf{f}(x + h\mathbf{e}_j) + \mathbf{f}(x - h\mathbf{e}_j) - 2\mathbf{f}(x)}{h^2}.$$

On the other hand, since from (16) the forward and backward operators $\partial_h^{+j}/\partial_h^{-j}$ mutually commute, it follows from the duality relations $\mathbf{e}_j^+\mathbf{e}_k^- + \mathbf{e}_k^-\mathbf{e}_j^+ = \delta_{jk}$ provided by (9), the set of identities

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_h \mathbf{f}(x) &= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \left(\mathbf{e}_j^+\mathbf{e}_k^- + \mathbf{e}_k^-\mathbf{e}_j^+\right)\partial_h^{+j}(\partial_h^{-k}\mathbf{f}(x)) \\ &= \partial_h^+(\partial_h^-\mathbf{f}(x)) + \partial_h^-(\partial_h^+\mathbf{f}(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Similarity, the combination of (3) with the finite difference property

$$\partial_h^{+j}\partial_h^{-j} = \left(\frac{\partial_h^{-j} + \partial_h^{+j}}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial_h^{-j} - \partial_h^{+j}}{2}\right)^2$$

lead to

$$\begin{aligned}-\Delta_h \mathbf{f}(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_j^2 \left(\frac{\partial_h^{-j} + \partial_h^{+j}}{2}\right)^2 \mathbf{f}(x) + \mathbf{e}_{j+n}^2 \left(\frac{\partial_h^{-j} - \partial_h^{+j}}{2}\right)^2 \mathbf{f}(x) \\ &= -D_h(D_h\mathbf{f}(x)).\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.2. *The statement* $D_h(D_h\mathbf{f}(x)) = -\Delta_h\mathbf{f}(x)$ *also yields as direct consequence of the combination of the formula* $\partial_h^+\left(\partial_h^-\mathbf{f}(x)\right)+\partial_h^-\left(\partial_h^+\mathbf{f}(x)\right) = -D_h\left(D_h\mathbf{f}(x)\right) = \Delta_h\mathbf{f}(x)$ *with Lemma 2.1.*

3. Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations on the lattice

3.1. The factorization approach

After the preliminary construction provided in Section 2 one now enters into the heart of the matter. Our first task consists in the exact formulation of an exact discretized model for the Dirac equation. Unlike the Dirac-Kähler formulations [1, 4, 20] one will incorporate the *local* unitary action $\chi_h(x)$ on $\Lambda_+^*\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \Lambda_-^*\mathcal{A}_h$ to ensure the factorization of the discretized Klein-Gordon operator $-\Delta_h + m^2$ provided by the equation (1) in terms of a discretized Dirac-field operator. Such action is close to the Dirac-Hestenes spinor field action (cf. [27, Subsection 3.7]).

To this end, we consider for a multivector function $\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x)$ with membership in $\Lambda_+^*\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \Lambda_-^*\mathcal{A}_h$, the following discretized Dirac equation on $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ for a *free* particle with mass m:

$$D_h\ \mathbf{f}_{+-}(x) = m\ \chi_h(x)\ \mathbf{f}_{+-}(x). \tag{20}$$

From the substitution provided by (12) on both sides of (20), it can be easily concluded, based on the idempotency relation $\chi_h(x)\left(\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}(x)\right) = \mathbf{f}(x)$, the following equivalent formulation as a coupled system of equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} D_h\ \mathbf{f}_+(x) & = & m\ \mathbf{f}_+(x) \\ D_h\ \mathbf{f}_-(x) & = & -m\ \mathbf{f}_-(x) \end{array}\right. \tag{21}$$

so that the solutions $\mathbf{f}_+(x)$ and $\mathbf{f}_-(x)$ of (21) are the chiral and the achiral components of the spinor vector-field $\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x)$.

Considering simply the multivector finite difference operator $D_h - m\chi_h(x)$, the solutions of (20) can be described in terms of the linear space $\ker\left(D_h - m\chi_h(x)\right)$ whereas the linear spaces $\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm \chi_h(x)\right)\left[\ker\left(D_h - m\chi_h(x)\right)\right]$ of chiral/achiral type contain the solutions of (21). In addition, the $C\ell_{n,n}$-valued representation underlying D_h and $\chi_h(x)$ allows us to derive the subsequent set of results in a reliable way.

Proposition 3.1. *When acting on the multivector space* $\Lambda_+^*\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \Lambda_-^*\mathcal{A}_h$*, the discretized Dirac-field operator* $D_h - m\chi_h(x)$ *satisfies*

$$\left(D_h - m\chi_h(x)\right)^2 = -\Delta_h + m^2.$$

Moreover, one has

$$\ker\left(D_h - m\chi_h(x)\right) = \left(D_h - m\chi_h(x)\right)\left[\ker\left(-\Delta_h + m^2\right)\right].$$

Proof. From the direct computation

$$(D_h - m\chi_h(x))^2 = D_h^2 - mD_h\chi_h(x) - m\chi_h(x)D_h + m^2\chi_h(x)^2$$

one easily recognizes the star Laplacian splitting $(D_h)^2 = -\Delta_h$ (Lemma 2.1) and the unitary relation $\chi_h(x)^2 = 1$ as well.

On the other hand, from (3) we observe that the set of anti-commuting relations $\mathbf{e}_j(\mathbf{e}_{j+n}\mathbf{e}_j) = -(\mathbf{e}_{j+n}\mathbf{e}_j)\mathbf{e}_j$ and $\mathbf{e}_{j+n}(\mathbf{e}_{j+n}\mathbf{e}_j) = -(\mathbf{e}_{j+n}\mathbf{e}_j)\mathbf{e}_{j+n}$ holds for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. This results into the following set of graded anti-commuting relations

$$\mathbf{e}_j\chi_h(x) = -\chi_h(x)\mathbf{e}_j \text{ and } \mathbf{e}_{j+n}\chi_h(x) = -\chi_h(x)\mathbf{e}_{j+n},$$

and moreover, into the basic identity $D_h\chi_h(x) = -\chi_h(x)D_h$. Whence

$$(D_h - m\chi_h(x))^2 = -\Delta_h + m^2.$$

For the proof of $\ker(D_h - m\chi_h(x)) = (D_h - m\chi_h(x))\left[\ker\left(-\Delta_h + m^2\right)\right]$ one recall first that from the factorization $(D_h - m\chi_h(x))^2 = -\Delta_h + m^2$, each solution of (20) is also a solution of the discretized Klein-Gordon equation (1), and thus, the linear space $\ker(D_h - m\chi_h(x))$ is a subspace of $\ker\left(-\Delta_h + m^2\right)$.

Therefore, we obtain the inclusion

$$\ker(D_h - m\chi_h(x)) \subset (D_h - m\chi_h(x))\left[\ker\left(-\Delta_h + m^2\right)\right].$$

Conversely, let $\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x)$ be a multivector function with membership in $(D_h - m\chi_h(x))\left[\ker\left(-\Delta_h + m^2\right)\right]$, that is

$$\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x) = D_h\mathbf{g}(x) - m\chi_h(x)\mathbf{g}(x),$$

with $\Delta_h\mathbf{g}(x) = m^2\mathbf{g}(x)$.

By applying $D_h - m\chi_h(x)$ to $\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x)$, it follows then

$$D_h\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x) - m\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x) = -\Delta_h\mathbf{g}(x) + m^2\mathbf{g}(x) = 0.$$

Thus $\mathbf{f}_{+-}(x) \in \ker(D_h - m\chi_h(x))$ and whence

$$(D_h - m\chi_h(x))\ker\left(-\Delta_h + m^2\right) \subset \ker(D_h - m\chi_h(x)).$$

□

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{g}(x) \in \Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h$ be a solution of the discretized Klein-Gordon equation*

$$\Delta_h\mathbf{g}(x) = m^2\mathbf{g}(x).$$

Then, the solutions of the coupled system (21) are given by

$$\mathbf{f}_+(x) = \tfrac{1}{2}(1 + \chi_h(x))(D_h\mathbf{g}(x) - m\mathbf{g}(x))$$

$$\mathbf{f}_-(x) = \tfrac{1}{2}(1 - \chi_h(x))(D_h\mathbf{g}(x) - m\mathbf{g}(x)).$$

Remark 3.1. *The above corollary is also valid for solutions of the discretized Klein-Gordon equation (1) with membership in $\Lambda^*_+\mathcal{A}_h \oplus \Lambda^*_-\mathcal{A}_h$.*

3.2. Hypercomplex extension of Chebyshev polynomials

As an hypercomplex extension for the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind labeled by (2) we can define, for each $x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n$, $y, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{a} \in C\ell_{0,n}$, the Clifford-vector-valued polynomial $\mathbf{T}_h^{(\alpha)}(x, y; \mathbf{a})$ by the multi-variable formula

$$\mathbf{T}_h^{(\alpha)}(x, y; \mathbf{a}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{x_j}{h}, \frac{x_j}{h}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-y_j}{2} + \alpha_j\ \chi_h(x)\right)\mathbf{a}. \tag{22}$$

Here and elsewhere ${}_2F_1$ denotes the hypergeometric series expansion defined from the Pochhammer symbol $(\lambda)_s = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+s)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}$ by the formula

$${}_2F_1(b_1, b_2; c_1; t) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b_1)_s\ (b_2)_s}{(c_1)_s}\ \frac{t^s}{s!}.$$

Remark 3.2. *A striking aspect besides this construction is that the term* $\frac{1-y_j}{2} + \alpha_j\ \chi_h(x)$ *within each* ${}_2F_1$ *representation is indeed an element of End*$(\Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h)$ *so that each* ${}_2F_1\left(-\frac{x_j}{h}, \frac{x_j}{h}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-y_j}{2} + \alpha_j\ \chi_h(x)\right)$ *shall be understood as an operational action. This means that the right-hand side of (22) is in fact a* $n-$*composition formula.*

Let us now take a close look to the multi-variable representation of (22) in terms of the classical Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_k(\lambda)$. For $\alpha = \mathbf{0}$ (the zero $n-$vector), the symmetric identity ${}_2F_1\left(-k, k; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1-\lambda}{2}\right) = {}_2F_1\left(k, -k; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1-\lambda}{2}\right)$ allows us to extend the Chebyshev polynomials also for negative integers. That is, putting $k = \frac{|x_j|}{h}$ on the right-hand side of (2) one always have the identity $T_{\frac{|x_j|}{h}}(y_j) = {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{x_j}{h}, \frac{x_j}{h}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-y_j}{2}\right)$.

So one has

$$\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x, y; \mathbf{a}) = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{2^n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(y_j + \sqrt{y_j^2 - 1}\right)^{\frac{x_j}{h}} + \left(y_j - \sqrt{y_j^2 - 1}\right)^{\frac{x_j}{h}}. \tag{23}$$

For $\alpha \neq \mathbf{0}$, the idempotency of $\frac{1 \pm \chi_h(x)}{2}$ allows us to represent, for suitable values of y, the hypercomplex polynomials (22) as elements with membership in $\Lambda_+^*\mathcal{A}_h$ or in $\Lambda_-^*\mathcal{A}_h$. So one starts with the binomial identity

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2} + \mu\frac{1 \pm \chi_h(x)}{2}\right)^s &= \sum_{r=0}^{s} \binom{s}{r} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2}\right)^{s-r} \mu^r \frac{1 \pm \chi_h(x)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1 \pm \chi_h(x)}{2}\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2} + \mu\right)^s.\end{aligned}$$

Application of the above formula results, after a straightforwardly computation based on linearity arguments, into the hypergeometric identity

$${}_2F_1\left(-k, k; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-\lambda}{2} + \mu\frac{1 \pm \chi_h(x)}{2}\right) = \frac{1 \pm \chi_h(x)}{2}\ {}_2F_1\left(-k, k; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-\lambda}{2} + \mu\right).$$

Recalling (22) one finds for the substitutions $\lambda = y_j + 2\alpha_j$, $\mu = \pm\alpha_j$, and $k = \frac{x_j}{h}$, the set of identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2F_1\left(-\frac{x_j}{h},\frac{x_j}{h};\frac{1}{2},\frac{1-y_j-2\alpha_j}{2}\pm 2\alpha_j\frac{1\pm\chi_h(x)}{2}\right) = \\
= \frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\frac{|x_j|}{h}}(y_j+2\alpha_j)\pm\chi_h(x)T_{\frac{|x_j|}{h}}(y_j+2\alpha_j)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

After some straightforward manipulations based again on the idempotency of $\frac{1\pm\chi_h(x)}{2}$, one thus gets, for $\alpha\neq\mathbf{0}$, the set of relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_h^{(\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a}) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a})+\chi_h(x)\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a})\right)\\
\mathbf{T}_h^{(-\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a}) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a})-\chi_h(x)\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a})\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{24}
$$

that naturally result into the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For each $x\in h\mathbb{Z}^n$, $y,\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{a}\in C\ell_{0,n}$ we then have the following:*

1. *The Clifford-vector-valued polynomials of the form $\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a})$ belong to $\Lambda^*\mathcal{A}_h$.*
2. *For $\alpha\neq\mathbf{0}$, the Clifford-vector-valued polynomials of the form $\mathbf{T}_h^{(\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})$ resp. $\mathbf{T}_h^{(-\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})$ belong to $\Lambda_+^*\mathcal{A}_h$ resp. $\Lambda_-^*\mathcal{A}_h$.*

The above description shows that the polynomials $\mathbf{T}_h^{(\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})$ and $\mathbf{T}_h^{(-\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})$ can be obtained by projecting the multi-variable $C\ell_{0,n}-$valued Chebyshev polynomials $\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a})$ on the multivector spaces $\Lambda_+^*\mathcal{A}_h$ and $\Lambda_-^*\mathcal{A}_h$, respectively. From this interrelationship the next proposition is rather obvious.

Proposition 3.2. *For each $j=1,2,\ldots,n$ the hypercomplex polynomials $\mathbf{T}_h^{(\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})$ defined in (22) satisfy the set of three-term recurrence relations*

$$
\mathbf{T}_h^{(\pm\alpha)}(x+h\mathbf{e}_j,y;\mathbf{a})+\mathbf{T}_h^{(\pm\alpha)}(x-h\mathbf{e}_j,y;\mathbf{a}) = (2y_j+4\alpha_j)\mathbf{T}_h^{(\pm\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a}).
$$

Proof. From the set of relations (24), it is sufficient to show that the three-term recurrence formula holds for every $\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x+h\mathbf{e}_j,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a})$.

First, recall that for each $\gamma\in C\ell_{0,n}$ satisfying $\gamma^2=1$, the auxiliar function of the form $G_h(t,\lambda;\gamma)=\left(\lambda+\gamma\sqrt{\lambda^2-1}\right)^{\frac{t}{h}}$ satisfies the recurrence formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_h(t+h,\lambda;\gamma) &= \left(\lambda+\gamma\sqrt{\lambda^2-1}\right)G_h(t,\lambda;\gamma)\\
G_h(t-h,\lambda;\gamma) &= \frac{G_h(t,\lambda;\gamma)}{\lambda+\gamma\sqrt{\lambda^2-1}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} G_h(t+h,\lambda;\gamma)+G_h(t-h,\lambda;\gamma) &= \frac{\left(\lambda+\gamma\sqrt{\lambda^2-1}\right)^2+1}{\lambda+\gamma\sqrt{\lambda^2-1}}G_h(t,\lambda;\gamma) \\ &= \frac{2\lambda^2+2\gamma\lambda\sqrt{\lambda^2-1}}{\lambda+\gamma\sqrt{\lambda^2-1}}G_h(t,\lambda;\gamma) \\ &= 2\lambda\; G_h(t,\lambda;\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Since the Chebyshev polynomials $T_{\frac{|x_j|}{h}}(y_j+2\alpha_j)$ can be rewritten as

$$T_{\frac{|x_j|}{h}}(y_j+2\alpha_j) = \frac{1}{2}\left(G_h(x_j,y_j+2\alpha_j;1)+G_h(x_j,y_j+2\alpha_j;-1)\right),$$

from the substitutions $\lambda = y_j+2\alpha_j$ we then have

$$T_{\frac{|x_j+h|}{h}}(y_j+2\alpha_j)+T_{\frac{|x_j-h|}{h}}(y_j+2\alpha_j) = (2y_j+4\alpha_j)T_{\frac{|x_j|}{h}}(y_j+2\alpha_j)$$

so that, for each $j=1,2,\ldots,n$, the set of three-term recurrence formulae

$$\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x+h\mathbf{e}_j,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a})+\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x-h\mathbf{e}_j,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a}) = (2y_j+4\alpha_j)\;\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a}).$$

follows naturally in the view of the multi-variable representation (23). □

Now we are in conditions to write down the solutions of the discretized Klein-Gordon equation (1) in terms of the hypercomplex counterpart of the Chebyshev polynomials provided by (22) and moreover, the spinor vector-field components of the Dirac equation (20).

First, recall that based on the coordinate formula (19) one can rewrite equation (1) as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{f}(x+h\mathbf{e}_j)+\mathbf{f}(x-h\mathbf{e}_j) = \left((mh)^2+2n\right)\mathbf{f}(x). \tag{25}$$

Furthermore, Proposition 3.2 tells us that the constraint

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}(2y_j+4\alpha_j) = (mh)^2+2n$$

is a necessary condition for $\mathbf{T}_h^{(\mathbf{0})}(x,y+2\alpha;\mathbf{a})$, and moreover, $\mathbf{T}_h^{(\pm\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})$ being solutions of (25).

In the view of Corollary 3.1, if we choose y and α in such way that $\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_j = \frac{(mh)^2}{2}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_j = \frac{n}{2}$, one can compute the solutions of (21) from

$$\mathbf{g}(x) = \mathbf{T}_h^{(\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})+\mathbf{T}_h^{(-\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a}).$$

A short computation based on projection arguments and on the intertwining property $D_h\chi_h(x) = -\chi_h(x)D_h$ even shows that the solutions $\mathbf{f}_+(x)$

and $\mathbf{f}_{-}(x)$ of (21) are thus given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f}_{+}(x) &= D_h\mathbf{T}_h^{(-\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a}) - m\mathbf{T}_h^{(\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})\\ \mathbf{f}_{-}(x) &= D_h\mathbf{T}_h^{(\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a}) - m\mathbf{T}_h^{(-\alpha)}(x,y;\mathbf{a}).\end{aligned}$$

4. Some remarks on lattice fermion doubling

In relativistic quantum mechanics the Hamiltonian $-\Delta + m^2$ encoded on the time-harmonic Klein-Gordon equation $\Delta\mathbf{f}(x) = m^2\mathbf{f}(x)$ can be derived by quantizating the paravector representations $\zeta^+ = \xi_0 - i\xi$ and $\zeta^- = -(\xi_0 + i\xi)$ of the complexified Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\otimes C\ell_{0,n}$ for which $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $i^2 = -1$ and $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j\mathbf{e}_j \in C\ell_{0,n}$.

Namely, such quantization scheme can be formulated in terms of the energy term $E = mc^2$ and the Dirac operator $D = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j\partial_{x_j}$ from the prime quantization rules

$$\xi_0 \mapsto iE \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_j \mapsto i\partial_{x_j}. \tag{26}$$

Thus, the substitution $\xi_0^2 = \frac{E^2}{c^4}$ (assuming the energy normalization in terms of the speed of light) gives rise to the quadratic equations $\zeta^+\zeta^- = \zeta^-\zeta^+ = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^2 - m^2$ that in turn yield the factorization relations, analogous to the ones obtained in [9]:

$$(D - im)(D + im) = (D + im)(D - im) = -\Delta + m^2.$$

Therefore, the solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation in *continuum* can be formulated on the momentum space through the energy-momentum relation $\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^2 = m^2$.

When one replaces the *continuum* Dirac operator D by the central difference Dirac operator $\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{h/2}^- + D_{h/2}^+\right)$ one can mimecking the above quantization procedure also for the derivation of the discretized Klein-Gordon equation. That is, based on the coordinate action of $\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{h/2}^- + D_{h/2}^+\right)$ on $\mathbf{f}(x)$ given by

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{h/2}^-\mathbf{f}(x) + D_{h/2}^+\mathbf{f}(x)\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j\frac{\mathbf{f}\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{e}_j\right) - \mathbf{f}\left(x - \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{e}_j\right)}{h}$$

and from the Taylor series representation $S_{h/2}^{\pm j} = \exp\left(\pm\frac{h}{2}\partial_{x_j}\right)$ carrying the shift operators $S_{h/2}^{\pm j}\mathbf{f}(x) = \mathbf{f}\left(x \pm \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{e}_j\right)$ (cf. [16, Subsection 2.2]) it follows that the factorization of the discretized Klein-Gordon operator $-\Delta_h + m^2$ provided by the set of relations

$$\begin{aligned}-\Delta_h + m^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}D_{h/2}^- + \frac{1}{2}D_{h/2}^+ + im\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}D_{h/2}^- + \frac{1}{2}D_{h/2}^+ - im\right)\\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}D_{h/2}^- + \frac{1}{2}D_{h/2}^+ - im\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}D_{h/2}^- + \frac{1}{2}D_{h/2}^+ + im\right)\end{aligned}$$

encodes the quantization correspondence $\sum_{j=1}^{n} i\mathbf{e}_j \frac{2}{h}\sin\left(\frac{h}{2}\xi_j\right) \to \frac{1}{2}\left(D^-_{h/2}+D^+_{h/2}\right)$ and the following energy-momentum relation on $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ as well:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{4}{h^2}\sin^2\left(\frac{h\xi_j}{2}\right)=m^2.$$

On the flavor of finite difference potentials (cf. [19, 5]) such kind of quantization on the lattice that results into the aforementioned energy-momentum relation was already considered, in a *hidden* way, when the authors obtained integral representations involving Green's-type functions based on the fact that the restriction of the continuous Fourier transform to $Q_h=\left[-\frac{\pi}{h},\frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n$ gives an inverse for the discrete Fourier transform over $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ (see also [24, Section 1.5], [12, Section II.] and [16, Subsection 4.2] for further analogies and comparisons).

The drawback besides this quantization scheme is two-fold: for the factorization of the discretized Klein-Gordon equation (1) one needs to consider, in addition the lattice $\frac{h}{2}\mathbb{Z}^n$ with mesh width $\frac{h}{2}>0$ and the left-hand side of the above summand has $2n+1$ zeros inside the *Brillouin zone* $Q_h=\left[-\frac{\pi}{h},\frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n$, and so, such discretization yields spectrum degeneracy for $\frac{1}{2}\left(D^-_{h/2}+D^+_{h/2}\right)$ in the massless limit $m\to 0$, as already discussed in Subsection 1.1.

When one formulates the discretized Dirac equation $D_h\mathbf{f}(x)=m\chi_h(x)\mathbf{f}(x)$ from the finite difference discretization $D_h=\frac{1}{2}\left(D^-_h+D^+_h\right)+\frac{h}{2}\Box_h$ provided by (18), one assures that its momentum representation provided by the prime quantization rules $\xi_j\mapsto i\partial_{x_j}$ and $-i\partial_{\xi_j}\mapsto x_j$ over $C\ell_{n,n}$:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} i\mathbf{e}_j\,\frac{1}{h}\sin(h\xi_j)\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)+\sin^2\left(\frac{h\xi_j}{2}\right)\mathbf{e}_{j+n}\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)=m\prod_{j=1}^{n}\mathbf{e}_{j+n}\mathbf{e}_j\cosh\left(\frac{\pi}{h}\partial_{\xi_j}\right)\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi) \quad (27)$$

behaves like $\frac{1}{2}\left(D^-_h+D^+_h\right)$ in the neighborhood of $\xi_j=0$ and $\xi_j=\pm\frac{\pi}{2h}$ and to avoid gaps in a neighborhood of $\xi_j=\pm\frac{\pi}{h}$. We remark that this behavior agrees with the results obtained by A. Boriçi [3] and M. Creutz [10].

Contrary to Kanamori-Kawamoto's approach (cf. [20, Subsection 6]), the mass term m was treated as a *local* spinor field potential $m\ \chi_h(x)$ that produces, as it was explained in Subsection 2.2, a commutative action over the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$. The connection with staggered fermions of Kogut-Susskind type was recognized from the shift operators

$$\cosh\left(\frac{\pi}{h}\partial_{\xi_j}\right):\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)\mapsto\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{f}}\left(\xi+\frac{\pi}{h}\mathbf{e}_j\right)+\widehat{\mathbf{f}}\left(\xi-\frac{\pi}{h}\mathbf{e}_j\right)}{2},$$

as representations of the permutation term $(-1)^{\frac{x_j}{h}}=\cos\left(\frac{\pi x_j}{h}\right)$ on the momentum space (cf. [24, Subsection 4.3]). This rotation symmetry action on $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ is thus essential to assure that to the corners of the *Brillouin zone* $Q_h=\left[-\frac{\pi}{h},\frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n$ (that is, the doublers) goes to a single point in the limit $h\to 0$.

At the level of the phase space coordinates $(x,\xi)\in h\mathbb{Z}^n\times[-\frac{\pi}{h},\frac{\pi}{h}]$, one can further introduce the *local* transformation actions

$$\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)\mapsto\mathbf{T}_h^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}\right)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)\quad\text{and}\quad\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)\mapsto\mathbf{T}_h^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}\right)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)$$

underlying the multivector representations

$$y=\sum_{j=1}^{n}2\sin^2\left(\frac{h\xi_j}{2}\right)\mathbf{e}_j\ \text{and}\ \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_j$$

of the $n-$tuples $\left(2\sin^2\left(\frac{h\xi_1}{2}\right),2\sin^2\left(\frac{h\xi_2}{2}\right),\ldots,2\sin^2\left(\frac{h\xi_n}{2}\right)\right)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\ldots,\frac{1}{2}\right)$, respectively.

Essentially, these local transformations provide families of solutions for the discretized Klein-Gordon equation as well as they assure the existence of two conserved spinorial flows of chiral and achiral type, respectively, for the momentum representation (27). From Corollary 3.1, one can also see that the action of the Dirac-field operator $D_h-m\chi_h(x)$ on such transformations produce solutions for the Dirac equation (20) over the phase space $h\mathbb{Z}^n\times\left[-\frac{\pi}{h},\frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n$. Moreover, the solutions of (21) with membership in $\Lambda_+^*\mathcal{A}_h\oplus\Lambda_-^*\mathcal{A}_h$, given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_+(x,\xi)&=D_h\left(\mathbf{T}_h^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}\right)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)\right)-m\mathbf{T}_h^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}\right)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)\\ \widehat{\mathbf{f}}_-(x,\xi)&=D_h\left(\mathbf{T}_h^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}\right)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi)\right)-m\mathbf{T}_h^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}\right)}(x,y;\mathbf{a})\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

provide the required spinor vector-field components of (20).

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank to Jayme Vaz Jr. (IMECC-UNICAMP, Brazil) for driving my attention at an earlier stage to Rabin's approach [26] and for his research paper [29], on which the construction of spinor-like spaces, analogue to the ones constructed in Section 2, was considered.

This paper was further developed in depth after a guest visit to School of Mathematics, University of Leeds (UK), from April 22 till April 30 2014. I would also like to thank to Vladimir V. Kisil for the fruitful discussions around this topic during these days and for his surge of interest on the feasibility of this approach.

Last but not least a special acknowledgment to Artan Boriçi (University of Tirana, Albania) and to Paulo A. F. da Veiga (ICMC-USP, Brazil) for the references [3, 10, 12], and to the anonymous referees for the careful readership of the paper.

References

[1] P. Becher, H. Joos, *The Dirac-Kähler equation and fermions on the lattice* Z. Phys. C **15** (1982), 343–365.

[2] D. Bohm, *Space, time, and the quantum theory understood in terms of discrete structural process* In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Elementary Particles 1965, Kyoto (1965), 252–287.

[3] A. Borici, *Creutz fermions on an orthogonal lattice* Physical Review D **78**(7) (2008), 074504.

[4] N.M. Borštnik, H.B. Nielsen, *Dirac-Kähler approach connected to quantum mechanics in Grassmann space* Physical Review D, **62**(4) (2000), 044010.

[5] P. Cerejeiras, U. Kähler, M. Ku, F. Sommen, *Discrete Hardy Spaces* Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications 20(4) (2014), 715-750.

[6] Y.-S. Chan, A.C. Fannjiang, G.H. Paulino, *Integral equations with hypersingular kernels–theory and applications to fracture mechanics* International Journal of Engineering Science **41**(7) (2003), 683–720.

[7] D. Chelkak, S. Smirnov, *Universality in the 2D Ising model and conformal invariance of fermionic observables*, Invent math **189**(3) (2012), 515–580.

[8] E.A.B. Cole, *Transition from a continuous to a discrete space-time scheme* Il Nuovo Cimento A **66**(4) (1970), 645–656.

[9] D. Constales, N. Faustino, R.S. Kraußhar, *Fock spaces, Landau operators and the time-harmonic Maxwell equations* Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **44**(13) (2011) 135303.

[10] M. Creutz, (2008), *Local chiral fermions*, The XXVI International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (2008), arXiv preprint arXiv:0808.0014.

[11] R. da Rocha, J. Vaz Jr, *Extended Grassmann and Clifford Algebras*, Adv. appl. Clifford alg. **16**(2) (2006), 103-125.

[12] P. A. F. da Veiga, M. O'Carroll & R. Schor (2002) *Excitation spectrum and staggering transformations in lattice quantum models* Physical Review E **66**(2), 027108.

[13] A. Dimakis, F. Müller–Hoissen, *Discrete differential calculus: Graphs, topologies, and gauge theory* Journal of Mathematical Physics **35**(12) (1994) 6703–6735.

[14] N. Faustino, U. Kähler, F. Sommen, *Discrete Dirac operators in Clifford Analysis*, Adv. Appl. Cliff. Alg. **17**(3) (2007), 451–467.

[15] N. Faustino, *Discrete Clifford Analysis*, dissertation, (Ria Repositório Institucional, Universidade de Aveiro, MA, 2009), URL: http://hdl.handle.net/10773/2942.

[16] N. Faustino, *Classes of hypercomplex polynomials of discrete variable based on the quasi-monomiality principle* Applied Mathematics and Computation **247** (2014), 607–622.

[17] D. Friedan, *A proof of the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem* Comm. Math. Phys **85**(4) (1982), 481–490.

[18] S. Froyen, *Brillouin-zone integration by Fourier quadrature: Special points for superlattice and supercell calculations Physical Review B* **39**(5) (1989), 3168–3172.

[19] K. Gürlebeck, A. Hommel, *On finite difference Dirac operators and their fundamental solutions* Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras **11**(2) (2001), 89–106.

[20] I. Kanamori, N. Kawamoto, *Dirac-Kaehler Fermion from Clifford Product with Noncommutative Differential Form on a Lattice* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**(05) (2004), 695–736.

[21] J. Kogut, L. Susskind, *Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's lattice gauge theories* Phys. Rev. D **11**(2) (1975), 395–408.

[22] C. Mercat, *Discrete Riemann surfaces and the Ising model* Communications in Mathematical Physics **218**(1) (2001), 177–216.

[23] R.L. Monaco, E.C. de Oliveira, *A new approach for the Jeffreys–Wentzel–Kramers–Brillouin theory* Journal of Mathematical Physics **35**(12) (1994), 6371–6378.

[24] I. Montvay, G. Münster, *Quantum Fields on a Lattice*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994).

[25] H.B. Nielsen, M. Ninomiya, *A no-go theorem for regularizing chiral fermions* Phys. Lett. B **105**(2) (1981), 219–223.

[26] J. Rabin, *Homology Theory of Lattice Fermion Doubling* Nuc. Phys. B **201**(2) (1982), 315 – 332.

[27] W.A. Rodrigues Jr., E.C. de Oliveira, *The many faces of Maxwell, Dirac and Einstein equations: a Clifford bundle approach* (Vol. 722) (Springer, Heidelberg, MA, 2007).

[28] J. Vaz Jr., *Clifford-like Calculus over lattices* Adv. Appl. Clifford Alg. **7**(1) (1997), 37–70.

[29] J. Vaz Jr, *Clifford algebras and Witten's monopole equations* In Apanasov, Bradlow, Rodrigues, Uhlenbeck editors. Geometry, Topology and Physics: interfaces in computer science and operations research. 2nd ed. Vol. 2 (Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin–New York (USA) MA, 1997), 277–300.

[30] W.K. Wilson, *Confinement of quarks* Phys. Rev. D **10**(8) (1974), 2445 – 2459.

Nelson Faustino
Departamento de Matemática Aplicada
IMECC–Unicamp
CEP 13083–859, Campinas–SP, Brasil
e-mail: `faustino@ime.unicamp.br`